Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Ashwani Kumar, S/o Satparkash Sood, Ward No.2, Thana Road, Bhadson,Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Executive Officer, Nagar Panchyat, Bhadson, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority-cumo/o Deputy Director, Local Government, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 729 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Gaganpreet Singh, Junior Engineer (for the Respondent) 88378-94440

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **20.7.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding construction of shops on the schedule road bhadson,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.10.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **29.1.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice to the parties issued for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO represented by Gaganpreet Singh, Junior Engineer, is present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala, stated that a reply (point-wise) was sent to the appellant vide letter No. 930 dated: 18.8.2020. The first appeal filed by the appellant was also disposed of by the First Appellate Authority on 27.11.2020. The respondent PIO has contended that the RTI application is mostly in the form of queries and questions and does not qualify as "information" as defined by the section 2 of the RTI Act 2005.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 729 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

- 3. This Commission has examined the RTI application as well as respondent PIO's reply in detail. The Commission concurs with the respondent PIO that the points listed in this RTI application do not qualify as "information" as per the RTI Act 2005.
- 4. Under the circumstances, this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Mohamad Hanif,

S/o Sh. Mohamad Sadiq, Baldev Colony, Nabha, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o District Revenue Officer, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority-cumo/o Deputy Commissioner, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 465 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Mohamad Hanif (Appellant) 70095-24584 Sukhjinder Singh, Tehsildar (for the Respondent) 98140-88102

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.9.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>as under:</u>
 - Details of assistants retained by the patwaris in patwar khana under tehsil area Nabha.
 - ii. Copy of letter issued by Punjab Govt., Deputy Commissioner's, FCR vide which permission granted to patwaris for cheating the record and preparation of fard, jamabandi's gurdwari etc.
 - ii) Copy of Act vide which permission granted to provide jamabandi's and revenue record in the absence of patwaris.

First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.10.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.1.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice to the parties issued for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2021**.

2. Both the parties are present. The appellant attended the hearing via Cisco Webex. The respondent PIO represented by Sukhjinder Singh, Tehsildar, is present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala. Perusal of the information requested in this RTI application reveals that it is all in the form of questions and queries and does not fall within the scope of "information" as defined by section 2 of the RTI Act 2005.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 465 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

3. Under the circumstances, this appeal case is herewith **DISMISSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Pawan Kumar, S/o Sh. Balbir Singh, # 26, Partap Colony, Rajpura Town, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority-cumo/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 622 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI, Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **11.8.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding the duties of ASIs and SIs</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **22.9.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.1.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice to the parties issued for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI, Hakam Singh, is present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala, stated that a reply was sent to the appellant vide letter No. 1253 dated: 21.6.2021. According to him a similar reply with the requested information was also sent to him before he filed first appeal on 22.9.2020.
- 3. The Commission has examined both these as well as the second appeal filed by the appellant and satisfied with the reply filed by the respondent PIO and address the request for information made in the RTI application.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jasbir Singh, S/o Harbans Singh, Village Jalal Khera, Post Office- Sular, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Block-B, Mini Secretariat, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Block-B, Mini Secretariat, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 655 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Jasbir Singh (Appellant)
ASI, Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.11.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the Chowki incharge posted at Poilce Chowki Dakala, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **7.12.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **27.1.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice to the parties issued for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2021**.
- 2. Both the parties are present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala. The appellant Jasbir Singh stated that he has received the requested information and satisfied with it.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jasbir Singh, S/o Harbans Singh, Village Jalal Khera, Post Office- Sular, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Block-B, Mini Secretariat, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Block-B, Mini Secretariat, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 750 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant)
ASI, Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **5.11.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding his complaints against Belt No. 228 dated: 3.3.2014 to 12.12.20214, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **7.12.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **2.2.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice to the parties issued for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2021**.
- 2. Both the parties are present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala. The appellant Jasbir Singh stated that he has received the requested information and is satisfied with it. He stated that only point number 5 of his RTI application remains, but that ASI Hakam Singh has assured him that this would be given after this hearing.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Hardial Singh, S/o Sher Singh, VPO Dhablan, Tehsil & District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (D), District Patiala. First Appellate Authority-cumo/o Additional Deputy Commissioner(D), District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 660 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Vijay Dhir (for the Respondent) 99882-93619

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **23.10.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding supple of rates in regard to sand and interlock, cement tiles and carriage etc from **2014-2015** to **2018-2019**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **10.10.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **27.1.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice to the parties issued for first hearing in the Commission on **30.6.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is not present. The respondent PIO-cum-Assistant Project Officer, Vijay Dhir, present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala, informed the Commission that the appellant in this case has unfortunately expired after contracting Covid-19.
- 3. Under the circumstances, this case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.